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Single-payer reform: a major economic stimulus

According to a first-of-its-kind survey undertaken by the California Nurses Association, establishing a national single-payer healthcare reform system would provide a major stimulus for the U.S. economy by creating 2.6 million new jobs, and infusing $317 billion in new business and public revenues, with another $100 billion in wages into the U.S. economy.

The number of jobs created by a single-payer system, expanding and upgrading Medicare to cover everyone, parallels almost exactly the total job loss in 2008.

These dramatic new findings document for the first time that a single-payer system could not only solve our healthcare crisis, but also substantially contribute to putting America back to work and assisting the economic recovery,” said Geri Jenkins, RN, co-president of the California Nurses Association/ National Nurses Organizing Committee, which sponsored the study.

“Through direct and supplemental expenditures, healthcare is already a uniquely dominant force in the U.S. economy,” said Don DeMoro, lead author of the study and director of the Institute for Health and Socio-Economic Policy, the NNOC/CNA research arm.

“However, so much more is possible. If we were to expand our present Medicare system to cover all Americans, the economic stimulus alone would create an immense engine that would help drive our national economy for decades to come,” DeMoro said.

Expanding Medicare to include the uninsured, and those on Medicaid or employersponsored health plans, and expanding coverage for those with limited Medicare, would have the following immediate impacts:

- Create 2,613,495 million new permanent good-paying jobs (slightly exceeding the number of jobs lost in 2008)
- Boost the economy with $317 billion in increased business and public revenues
- Add $100 billion in employee compensation
- Infuse public budgets with $44 billion in new tax revenues

Further, moving to the new system comes with an unexpectedly low price tag, given the economic benefits and the far-reaching consequences of genuine healthcare reform, DeMoro noted.

Far less than the Wall Street bailouts

Adding all Americans to an expanded Medicare could be achieved for $63 billion beyond the current $2.1 trillion in direct healthcare spending. The $63 billion is six times less than the federal bailout for Citigroup, and less than half the federal bailout for AIG. Solely expanding Medicare to cover the 47 million uninsured Americans (as of 2006 data on which the study is based) could be accomplished for $44 billion.

The IHSP projections build from an econometric model of the current face of healthcare – applying economic analysis to a wide array of publicly available data from Medicare, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and other sources.

It is the first known study to provide an econometric analysis of the economic benefits of healthcare to the overall economy, showing how changes in direct healthcare delivery affect all other significant sectors touched by healthcare, and how sweeping healthcare reform can help drive the nation’s economic recovery.

Healthcare presently accounts for $2.105 trillion in direct expenditures. But healthcare spreads far beyond doctor’s offices and hospitals. Adding in healthcare business purchases of services or supplies and spending by workers, the total impact of healthcare in the economy mushrooms to nearly $6 trillion.

Overall, every direct healthcare dollar creates nearly three additional dollars in the U.S. economy. In current form, healthcare:

- Generates 45 million jobs, directly and in other industries.
- Accounts for 10.5 percent of all U.S. jobs and 12.1 percent of all U.S. wages.
- Totals 9.2 percent of the nation’s Gross National Product.

- Contributes about 25 percent of all federal tax revenues. Federal, state, and local taxes from the healthcare sector in 2006 added up to $824 billion.

All those numbers would rise dramatically through comprehensive healthcare reform. But a single-payer system would produce the biggest increase in jobs and wages. The reason, DeMoro said, is that “the broadest economic benefits directly accrue from the actual delivery and provision of healthcare, not the purchase of insurance.”

Medicare for all has numerous other benefits, of course, noted Jenkins, from a streamlined system with tens of billions less in private insurance administrative waste, guaranteed choice of physician and hospital, no loss of coverage when unemployed, and no one denied coverage due to age or health status.

“Only a single-payer, expanded Medicare—on approach ends the current disgraceful practice of insurance companies refusing to pay for medical treatment or engaging in rampant price gouging that discourages patients from going to the doctor, seeing specialists, or getting diagnostic procedures in a timely manner,” said Jenkins.

The IHSP has conducted research for members of Congress and state legislatures as well as NNOC/CNA, and received international renown for research studies on cost and charges in the hospital industry, the pharmaceutical industry, hospital staffing, and other healthcare policy.

Robert Fountain, a frequent economics consultant for the California Public Employees Retirement System (Cal-PERS), served as a consultant on the study.

Mass. union leaders call on Obama to support H.R.676, the national ‘Medicare for All’ bill

More than 40 Massachusetts labor leaders, including MNA President Beth Picknick, have signed a letter to President Obama raising concerns that some policy makers in Washington are backing health care reforms based on the state plan adopted two years ago. The Massachusetts plan features an “individual mandate” requiring all citizens to buy private health insurance. The letter, which was sent in mid-February and spearheaded by the Jobs with Justice Coalition, stated:

“The [Massachusetts plan] is too expensive for many individuals forced to buy health insurance. It has failed to control costs and it has cost the state far more than initially projected. As a result, many critical health care facilities that serve low-income communities are facing huge cuts, while health care premiums continue to rise by double digits year after year. The Massachusetts plan is widely recognized as unsustainable and now that we are facing an economic crisis, it is even more problematic.”

Instead of individual mandates, the letter urges the president to support H.R.676, the national “Medicare for All” bill, as the “most fiscally prudent and morally imperative direction for successful health care reform.”

H.R.676—expected to be reintroduced in Congress later this month—has been endorsed by 39 state AFL-CIO federations, 100 Central Labor Councils and more than 400 local unions. Last year, the bill had 92 co-sponsors in Congress, more than any other health care reform bill.